IN THE UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF DELAWARE

In re:

SHARITY MINISTRIES, INC.,¹

Debtor.

Chapter 11

Case No. 21-11001 (JTD)

JOINT OBJECTION BY THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA AND THE STATE OF TEXAS TO THE DEBTOR'S <u>CHAPTER 11 PLAN OF LIQUIDATION</u> [Relates to Dkt. Nos. 264 & 299]

COMES NOW, the People of the State of California ("People"), by and through Rob Bonta, the Attorney General of the State of California, and the State of Texas, by and through the Office of the Texas Attorney General, and submits this *Joint Objection by the People of the State of California and the State of Texas to the Debtor's Chapter 11 Plan of Liquidation* ("Objection"), and states as follows:

I. <u>OVERVIEW</u>

While the People and the State of Texas have come to an agreement with the Debtor as to language that will settle this objection, as of the time of filing, neither an amended Plan nor an amended proposed confirmation order with agreed upon language had been filed. As such, the People and the State of Texas files this objection out of an abundance of caution.

The Debtor's $Plan^2$ should not be confirmed because the Plan's injunctive provision acts as a complete discharge of the Debtor in violation of section 1141(d)(3) of the Bankruptcy Code.

JOINT OBJECTION BY THE PEOPLE AND THE STATE OF TEXAS

¹ The last four digits of the Debtor's federal tax identification number is 0344. The Debtor's mailing address is 821 Atlanta Street, Suite 124, Roswell, GA 30075.

² "Plan" means the Combined Disclosure Statement and Chapter 11 Plan of Liquidation of Sharity Ministries Inc. [Docket No. 264] and the Amended Plan Supplement [Docket No. 299].

As such, the People and the State of Texas, as holders of a Government Fines and Penalties Claims against the Debtor that are addressed in Art. VII.A.5 of the Plan, object and request that the Plan's injunctive provision be limited to only an injunction that applies to the Debtor's assets.

II. <u>BACKGROUND</u>

1. On July 8, 2021, the Debtor filed its petition for relief under Chapter 11 of the Bankruptcy Code and designated this bankruptcy proceeding as a Sub-V under Chapter 11. [Dkt. No. 1].

On August 20, 2021, the U.S. Trustee appointed the Member Committee. [Dkt. No.
163].

3. On October 1, 2021, the Debtor filed its Sub-Chapter V Plan, its *Motion of the Debtor for Entry of an Order (I) Approving the Disclosure Statement on an Interim Basis; (II) Scheduling a Combined Hearing on Final Approval of the Disclosure Statement and Plan Confirmation and Deadlines Related Thereto; (III) Approving the Solicitation, Notice and Tabulation Procedures and the Forms Related Thereto; and (IV) Granting Related Relief*, and a request to approve the stipulation entered into by the Debtor, the Subchapter V Trustee, the U.S. Trustee, the Member Committee, and the States which, among other things, sought to remove the Debtor's Sub-V designation and appoint the Member Committee as the Official Committee of Unsecured Creditors Committee under 11 U.S.C. section 1102(a). [Dkt. No. 223, 224, & 225].

4. On October 4, 2021, this Court signed the Order approving the stipulation and the bankruptcy proceeded as a Chapter 11. [Dkt. No. 229].

Case 21-11001-JTD Doc 307 Filed 11/22/21 Page 3 of 12

5. On October 14, 2021, the Debtor filed its *Chapter 11 Combined Plan and Disclosure Statement*. [Dkt. 264].

6. Also on October 14, 2021, this Court signed the Order approving the disclosure statement on an interim basis, setting the deadline to file a plan supplement for November 8, 2021, setting the disclosure statement and plan objection deadline for November 22, 2021, and setting the final confirmation hearing for December 2, 2021. [Dkt. No. 263].

On November 8, 2021, the Debtor filed its 40-page Plan Supplement. [Dkt. No.
293].

On November 18, 2021, the Debtor filed its 98-page Amended Plan Supplement.
[Dkt. No. 299].

III. <u>OBJECTION</u>

9. The Debtor's Plan should not be confirmed because it fails to comply with 11 U.S.C. section 1141(d)(3) because the Plan's injunctive provision acts as a complete discharge of the Debtor in violation of 11 U.S.C. section 1141(d)(3). ("The court shall confirm a plan only if . . . [t]he plan complies with the applicable provisions of this title").

A. <u>11 U.S.C. § 1141(d)(3) Denies a Discharge to a Chapter 11 Liquidating Corporate</u> <u>Debtor and an Improper Injunction Can Act as an Improper Discharge</u>.

10. 11 U.S.C. section 1141(d)(3) denies a Chapter 11 liquidating corporate debtor from receiving a discharge. ³ "Congress designed Section 1141(d)(3) to discourage trading in corporate shells." *Id.* (citing H.R. Rep. No. 595, 95th Cong. 1st Sess. 384 (1977)). "It achieves that goal by

"The confirmation of a plan does not discharge a debtor if—

³ 11 U.S.C. § 1141(d)(3) states:

⁽A) the plan provides for the liquidation of all or substantially all of the property of the estate;

⁽B) the debtor does not engage in business after consummation of the plan; and

⁽C) the debtor would be denied a discharge under section 727(a) of this title if the case were a case under chapter 7 of this title."

Case 21-11001-JTD Doc 307 Filed 11/22/21 Page 4 of 12

freighting the shell with all the claims, so that any claims or portions of claims not paid by the liquidation will attach to the shell, making the shell much less attractive for use in starting up another enterprise." *Id.* For example, "a corporation with a substantial tax loss but with all of its debts discharged would be an attractive vehicle to shield profits." *U.S. Dismant. Corp. v. Jeffrey M. Brown Assocs., Inc.*, No. 97–CV–1309, 2000 WL 433971, at *2 (E.D. Pa. Apr. 13, 2000).

11. 11 U.S.C. section 1141(d)(3) "prevents corporations and partnerships from proceeding under chapter 11 with a plan that is nothing more than a liquidation in order to circumvent section 727(a)'s prohibition against a discharge of a corporation or partnership in a chapter 7 liquidation case." 6 COLLIER ON BANKRUPTCY ¶ 727.01[3] (Richard Levin & Henry J. Sommer eds., 16th ed. 2021). Confirmation of a liquidating plan operates as a denial of discharge. *Teamsters' Pension Tr. Fund v. Malone Realty Co.*, 82 B.R. 346, 349 (E.D. Pa. 1988). "[T]he lack of a discharge means that the creditor is not barred from proceeding against the corporation itself and whatever assets might subsequently come into its possession." *In re Fairchild Aircraft Corp.*, 128 B.R. 976, 982 (Bankr. W.D. Tex. 1991).

12. Because under 11 U.S.C. section 1141(d)(3), a liquidating Chapter 11 plan may not provide for the discharge of the debtor, an injunction preventing post-confirmation claims prosecution operates as an impermissible discharge of the Debtors. *See In re Bigler LP*, 442 B.R. 537, 545–46 (Bankr. S.D. Tex. 2010).

13. Under 11 U.S.C. section 1141(d)(3), one must prove the following three elements for confirmation to be denied:

- (A) the plan provides for the liquidation of all or substantially all of the property of the estate;
- (B) the debtor does not engage in business after consummation of the plan; and
- (C) the debtor would be denied a discharge under section 727(a) of this title if the case were a case under chapter 7 of this title.

Case 21-11001-JTD Doc 307 Filed 11/22/21 Page 5 of 12

14. As set out below, all three elements are present in this case.

B. <u>The Injunctive Relief Setout in the Plan Operates as an Impermissible Discharge</u> <u>under Section 1141(d)(3), and Confirmation Should be Denied</u>.

i. <u>*The Plan's Injunctive Language Operates as an Impermissible Discharge.*</u>

15. The injunctive relief sought in the Plan operates as an impermissible discharge.

16. While the first paragraph of the Plan's injunction provision states: "Pursuant to 1141(d)(3), confirmation of this Plan does not discharge this Debtor from any debt that arose before the date of the confirmation," Article XIV.B of the Plan takes any force behind this first paragraph away, giving the Debtor an injunction in violation of section 1141(d)(3).

17. Specifically, Article XIV.B's injunctive language states that "[f]rom and after the Effective Date, no suit, action, or other proceeding, on account of any claim, demand, liability, obligation, debt, right, cause of action, interest, or remedy may be brought against the Debtor, . . . with respect to satisfaction of claims that were treated pursuant to the Plan or the Confirmation Order . . ."

18. As here, "[a]n injunction preventing the post-confirmation prosecution of claims. . .operate[s] as a discharge of the Debtors. Accordingly, it impermissible under the Code." *In re Bigler LP*, 442 B.R. 537, 545 (Bankr. S.D. Tex. 2010); see also, e.g., In re Sacred Heart Hosp., 182 B.R. 413, 422 (Bankr. E.D. Pa. 1995) (ordering debtor to strike post-confirmation injunction from liquidating plan).

19. Similarly, Article XVI.H of the Plan ("Dissolution of the Debtor and Closing of the Chapter 11 Case") purports to "deem[] [Debtor] to be immediately dissolved upon the Effective Date under applicable law and shall have no corporate existence thereafter without the necessity for any other or further actions to be taken by or on behalf of the Debtor or action or formality which might otherwise be required under applicable non-bankruptcy laws."

Case 21-11001-JTD Doc 307 Filed 11/22/21 Page 6 of 12

20. There are two issues with Debtor's purported dissolution under the Plan. First, "[d]issolution . . . is not an objective that can be attained in bankruptcy." *In re Integrated Telecom Express, Inc.*, 384 F.3d 108, 126 (3d. Cir. 2004). "After liquidation, any dissolution of the corporation or partnership that the parties desire must be effectuated under state law, since the Code does not provide for dissolution of corporations or partnerships." 6 COLLIER ON BANKRUPTCY, *supra*, ¶ 727.01[3].

21. Second, the Debtor's attempt to terminate any further corporate existence "under applicable law" would operate as a *de facto* discharge by preventing "claims or portions of claims not paid by the liquidation [from] attach[ing] to the shell..." *In re Fairchild Aircraft Corp.*, 128 B.R. at 982; *see also U.S. Dismant. Corp.*, 2000 WL 433971, at *2. It also appears to be an impermissible attempt to preempt state laws, including those that permit the commencement or continuation of actions against dissolved entities. *See, e.g.*, Del. Code § 278. As such, the Plan's dissolution provision also violates § 1141(d)(3).

22. Therefore, the Plan's injunctive provision and the Plan's attempted dissolution of the Debtor both operate as impermissible discharges in this case.

- *ii.* <u>The Elements in 11 U.S.C. Section 1141(d)(3) are Met and Confirmation Should be</u> <u>Denied</u>.
- 23. The three elements under 11 U.S.C. § 1141(d)(3) are met as follows:
- (a) the Plan is a "Plan of Liquidation," see, e.g., Art. I;

(b) Debtors wound down and ceased conducting business post-petition, *see*, *e.g.*, Art. III.A; and

(c) Debtor would be denied a discharge under chapter 7 because it is not an individual, *see*11 U.S.C § 727(a)(1).

Case 21-11001-JTD Doc 307 Filed 11/22/21 Page 7 of 12

24. Accordingly, Debtors are "clearly prevent[ed] . . . from obtaining any type of discharge," including the discharge hidden in the Plan's injunctive language, and the Plan should not be confirmed. *In re Sis Corp.*, 120 B.R. 93, 96 (Bankr. N.D. Ohio 1990).

C. <u>Alternative Relief</u>.

25. In the alternative, to address these issues, the People and the State of Texas respectfully request the following language be added to the Plan and Order Confirming the Plan:

Nothing in the Plan or Confirmation Order precludes any Governmental Entity from using its own judicial or regulatory processes to initiate, pursue, or resolve any police or regulatory matters involving the Debtor and to settle such matters or enter judgment thereon, but with the further understanding that collection of any amounts founds to be owing from the Debtor under such resolution shall be made in accordance with the payment terms of the Plan to holders of Class 5 Claims.

IV. <u>PRAYER</u>

WHERFORE PREMIS CONSIDERED, the People and the State of Texas pray that this

Court deny confirmation of the Plan because the injunctive provisions act as an improper discharge

of the Debtor and violates 11 U.S.C. section 1141(d)(3). Alternatively, the People and the State of

Texas prays that the following language be included in the Plan and any Order Confirming the

Plan:

Nothing in the Plan or Confirmation Order precludes any Governmental Entity from using its own judicial or regulatory processes to initiate, pursue, or resolve any police or regulatory matters involving the Debtor and to settle such matters or enter judgment thereon, but with the further understanding that collection of any amounts founds to be owing from the Debtor under such resolution shall be made in accordance with the payment terms of the Plan to holders of Class 5 Claims.

The People and the State of Texas further prays for any further relief to which this Court

finds they are entitled.

Dated: November 22, 2021,

ROB BONTA Attorney General of California

By: <u>/s/ Ari Dybnis</u>

ARI DYBNIS ANNA MOLANDER STEPHANIE YU Deputy Attorneys General 300 South Spring Street, Suite 1702 Los Angeles, CA 90013 Telephone: (213) 269-6664 Fax: (916) 731-3652 Email: ari.dybnis@doj.ca.gov

Attorneys for the People of the State of California

KEN PAXTON Attorney General of Texas

BRENT WEBSTER First Assistant Attorney General

GRANT DORFMAN Deputy First Assistant Attorney General

SHAWN E. COWLES Deputy Attorney General for Civil Litigation

RACHEL R. OBALDO Assistant Attorney General Chief, Bankruptcy & Collections Division

/s/Abigail R. Ryan

ABIGAIL R. RYAN Texas State Bar No. 24035956 Office of the Attorney General of Texas Bankruptcy & Collections Division P. O. Box 12548 MC008 Austin, Texas 78711-2548 Telephone: (512) 463-2173 Facsimile: (512) 936-1409 Abigail.ryan@oag.texas.gov

ATTORNEYS FOR THE STATE OF TEXAS

Case 21-11001-JTD Doc 307 Filed 11/22/21 Page 9 of 12

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I certify that on November 22, 2021, a true and correct copy of the foregoing has been

served via the Court's Electronic Filing System on all parties requesting notice in this proceeding,

and on the following parties by electronic mail:

Proposed Debtor's Counsel:	Office of the Unites States Trustee
LANDIS RATH & COBB LLP	Rosa Sierra, Trial Attorney
Adam G. Landis	J. Caleb Boggs Federal Building
landis@lrclaw.com	844 King Street, Room 2207
Matthew B. McGuire	Lockbox 35
mcguire@lrclaw.com	Wilmington, DE 19801
Nicolas E. Jenner	rosa.sierra@usdoj.gov
jenner@lrclaw.com	
BAKER & HOSTETLER LLP	
Jorian L. Rose	
irose@bakerlaw.com	
Jason I. Blanchard	
jblanchard@bakerlaw.com	
Elyssa S. Kates	
ekates@bakerlaw.com	
Andrew V. Layden	
alayden@bakerlaw.com	
ALABAMA DEPARTMENT OF INSURANCE	ARIZONA DEPARTMENT OF INSURANCE
PO BOX 303351	WENDY GREENWOOD
MONTGOMERY, AL 36130-3351	100 NORTH 15TH AVE, SUITE 102
INSDEPT@INSURANCE.ALABAMA.GOV	PHOENIX, AZ 85007-2624
	WGREENWOOD@AZINSURANCE.GOV
DAVID C. WEISS	DELAWARE SECRETARY OF STATE
C/O ELLEN SLIGHTS, ESQUIRE	CORPORATIONS FRANCHISE TAX
US ATTORNEY'S OFFICE DISTRICT OF DE1007	PO BOX 898
N. ORANGE STREET, SUITE 700	DOVER, DE 19903
WILMINGTON, DE 19801	
ELLEN.SLIGHTS@USDOJ.GOV	DOSDOC_FTAX@STATE.DE.US
DELAWARE ATTORNEY GENERAL DELAWARE	DIVISION OF MARKET REGULATION & MAIL
DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICECARVEL STATE	CHA, INSURANCE EXAMINER ENFORCEMENT
BUILDING	PO BOX 7873
820 N. FRENCH ST. WILMINGTON, DE 19801	MADISON, WI 53707-7873
ATTORNEY.GENERAL@STATE.DE.US	MAI.CHA@WISCONSIN.GOV

GEORGIA OFFICE OF INSURNCE & SAFETY	ILLINOIS DEPARTMENT OF INSURANCE
FIRE COMMISSIONER	320 W. WASHINGTON STREET
2 MLK JR. DR, W TOWER, STE 702	SPRINGFIELD, IL 62767-0000
ATLANTA, GA 30334-0000	
,	PH :217-782-4515
PH :(404) 656-2070	
	DOI.INFODESK@ILLINOIS.GOV
	DOI.INI ODESK(@IEEINOIS.GOV
ADMINPROC@OCI.GA.GOV	
KANSAS INSURANCE DEPARTMENT 1300 SW	KANSAS OFFICE OF THE ATTY GENERAL
ARROWHEAD RD.	LYNETTE GOOD
TOPEKA, KS 66604-0000	120 W. 10TH AVE., 2ND FL TOPEKA, KS 66612-
KID.COMMISSIONER@KS.GOV	1597
	LYNETTE.GOOD@AG.KS.GOV
KENTUCKY DEPARTMENT OF INSURANCE	LIFE & HEALTH MARKET REGULATION
500 MERO STREET	JAMES KOCHA, SR. MANAGEMENT ANALYST
2 SE 11	FL OFFICE OF INSURANCE REGULATION
FRANKFORT, KY 40601-0000	200 E. GAINES STREET
PH :502-564-3630	TALLAHASSE, FL 32399
FAX:502-564-1650	<i>,</i>
	JAMES.KOCHA@FLOIR.COM
DOI.ISHELPDESK@KY.GOV	
MICHIGAN DEPT OF INSURANCE AND	MINNESOTA DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
FINANCIAL SERVICES	85 7TH PLACE EAST
PO BOX 30220	SUITE 280
LANSING, MI 48909-7720	SAINT PAUL, MN 55101-0000
DIFSINFO@MICHIGAN.GOV	PH :651-539-1600
	CONSUMER.PROTECTION@STATE.MN.US
MISSOURI DEPARTMENT OF INSURANCE,	NEBRASKA DEPARTMENT OF INSURANCE
ROBERT TILLMAN	PO BOX 82089
FIN. INSTITUTIONS & PROF. REGST.	LINCOLN, NE 68501-2089
PO BOX 690	PH :402-471-2201
JEFFERSON CITY, MO 65102-0690	DOI.WEBMASTER@NEBRASKA.GOV
ROB.TILLMAN@INSURANCE.MO.GOV	
NEVADA DIVISION OF INSURANCE	OFFICE OF LICENSING AND MARKET REG.
1818 E. COLLEGE PKWY	DUSTIN SIMON, INSURANCE INVSTGTR
SUITE 103	MI DEPT OF INSURANCE & FIN. SERVS
CARSON CITY, NV 89706-0000	PO BOX 30220
PH :(775) 687-0700	LANSING, MI 48909-7720
FAX:702-486-4007	SIMOND8@MICHIGAN.GOV
CSCC@DOI.NV.GOV	
RHODE ISLAND DEPT OF BUSINESS	SOLIC CAPITAL ADVISORS, LLC
REGULATION, INSURANCE DIVISION 1511	N. LURIA, R. NOWITZ, K. TAVAKOLI 425 W.
PONTIAC AVENUE	NEW ENGLAND AVENUE SUITE 300
CRANSTON, RI 02920-0000	WINTER PARK, FL 32789
DBR.INSURANCE@DBR.RI.GOV	PH :847.583.1618
	NLURIA@SOLICCAPITAL.COM
	NLOKIA(@,SOLICCATITAL.COM

SOLIC CAPITAL ADVISORS, LLC N. LURIA, R. NOWITZ, K. TAVAKOLI 425 W. NEW ENGLAND AVENUE SUITE 300 WINTER PARK, FL 32789 PH :847.583.1618 <u>RNOWITZ@SOLICCAPITAL.COM</u> SOUTH CAROLINA DEPT OF INSURANCE 1201 MAIN STREET SUITE 1000 COLUMBIA, SC 29201-0000 INFO@DOI.SC.GOV	SOLIC CAPITAL ADVISORS, LLC N. LURIA, R. NOWITZ, K. TAVAKOLI 425 W. NEW ENGLAND AVENUE, SUITE 300 WINTER PARK, FL 32789 PH :847.583.1618 <u>KTAVAKOLI@SOLICCAPITAL.COM</u> SR. DEPUTY COMMISSIONER KATHY SHORTT NORTH CAROLINA DEPT OF INSURANCE 1201 MAIL SERVICE CENTER RALEIGH, NC 27699-1201 <u>KATHY.SHORTT@NCDOLGOV</u>
STATE OF UTAH INSURANCE DEPT CARRIE BACKUS, MRKT CONDUCT EXAMINER STATE OFFICE BUILDING SUITE 3110 SALT LAKE CITY, UT 84114-6901 <u>CBACKUS@UTAH.GOV</u>	TENNESSEE DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE & INSURANCE 500 JAMES ROBERTSON PKWY NASHVILLE, TN 37243-0565 <u>ASK.TDCI@TN.GOV</u>
U.S. ATTORNEY'S OFFICE DAVID C. WEISS HERCULES BUILDING 1313 N MARKET STREET WILMINGTON, DE 19801 DAVID.WEISS@USDOJ.GOV	WEST VIRGINIA OFFICES OF THE INS COMMISSIONER PO BOX 50540 CHARLESTON, WV 25305-0540 <u>OICLEGAL@WV.GOV</u>
WISCONSIN OFFICE OF THE COMM`R OF INSURANCE PO BOX 7873 MADISON, WI 53707-7873 <u>OCICOMPLAINTS@WISCONSIN.GOV</u>	BURR & FORMAN LLP (RE: COUNSEL TO ALIERA COMPANIES) J. CORY FALGOWSKI, ESQUIRE 1201 N. MARKET STREET SUITE 1407 WILMINGTON, DE 19801 PH :302-830-2312 FAX: JFALGOWSKI@BURR.COM
BURR & FORMAN LLP (RE: COUNSEL TO ALIERA COMPANIES) MARC P. SOLOMON, ESQUIRE 420 NORTH 20TH STREET SUITE 3400 BIRMINGHAM, AL 35203 <u>MSOLOMON@BURR.COM</u>	ADVEVO, LLC 990 HAMMOND DRIVE SUITE 700 ATLANTA, GA 30328-0000 MARTHA.PARKER@ALIERACOMPANIES.COM
ENSURIAN AGENCY, LLC 990 HAMMOND DRIVE SUITE 700 ATLANTA, GA 30328-0000 <u>MARTHA.PARKER@ALIERACOMPANIES.COM</u>	GIBBONS, P.C. ONE GATEWAY CENTER NEWARK, NJ 07102-5310 JMARTIN@GIBBONSLAW.COM

RON HINKLE	TACTIC EDGE SOLUTIONS, LLC
LEGISLATIVE CONSULTANT	990 HAMMOND DRIVE
PO BOX 11664	SUITE 700
AUSTIN, TX 78711	ATLANTA, GA 30328-0000
<u>RON@RHINKLE.COM</u>	<u>MARTHA.PARKER@ALIERACOMPANIES.COM</u>
USA BENEFITS & ADMINISTRATORS, LLC 990 HAMMOND DRIVE SUITE 700 ATLANTA, GA 30328-0000 <u>MARTHA.PARKER@ALIERACOMPANIES.COM</u>	Karen Cordry, Bankruptcy Counsel National Association of Attorneys General <u>kcordry@naag.org</u>